

## An inequality for $\text{Si}(x)$

Graham Jameson, Nick Lord and James McKee

*Math. Gazette* **99** (2015)

The “sine integral” is

$$\text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt.$$

The aim of this note is to establish the pair of inequalities

$$\tan^{-1} x < \text{Si}(x) < \pi - \tan^{-1} x \quad \text{for } x > 0. \quad (1)$$

These bounds imply the well-known integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Our proof assumes this result; of course, it would be more satisfying to find a proof that does not rely on it.

An unusual feature of the bounds is that the upper and lower bounds are monotone functions (whose graphs are symmetrical about the line  $y = \pi/2$ ), whereas  $\text{Si}(x)$  is an oscillatory function. In fact, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, its derivative is  $\sin x/x$ , so  $\text{Si}(x)$  is increasing on intervals  $[2n\pi, (2n+1)\pi]$  and decreasing on intervals  $[(2n-1)\pi, 2n\pi]$ , hence it has maxima at the points  $(2n+1)\pi$  and minima at the points  $2n\pi$ . (Diagrams appearing in the *Math. Gazette* version of this article are not reproduced here).

Weaker bounds for  $\text{Si}(x)$  can be found in the literature. For example, Burckel in [1, p. 123] estimates a contour integral to establish bounds equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) < \text{Si}(x) < \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right).$$

This note had an unusual genesis. James McKee is a sixth form student of Nick Lord's. He conjectured that  $\tan^{-1} x < \text{Si}(x)$  by comparing Maclaurin expansions of each side (which works for  $0 < x \leq 1$ ), then graphing both sides for larger and larger values of  $x$ . He then experimented with graphs for the upper bound, initially arriving at  $\text{Si}(x) < \sec^{-1}(-x)$ . In discussion with Nick Lord, the upper bound was refined:

$$\sec^{-1}(-x) = \cos^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \sin^{-1}\frac{1}{x} > \frac{\pi}{2} + \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{x} = \pi - \tan^{-1}x.$$

At this point, highly intrigued but lacking a definitive proof, Nick Lord contacted Graham Jameson, who produced the elegant proof which follows.

Write

$$I(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt,$$

$$J(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^2 + 1} dt.$$

Given the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^2 + 1} dt = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

we have  $I(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \text{Si}(x)$  and  $J(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} x$ , so (1) is equivalent to

$$-J(x) < I(x) < J(x). \quad (2)$$

We shall prove (2). We note in passing that the notation  $\text{si}(x)$  is sometimes used for  $-I(x)$ , and that  $J(x)$  also equates to  $\tan^{-1} \frac{1}{x}$ .

First, a simple estimation of  $J(x)$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{t^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t^2(t^2 + 1)},$$

we have

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^2(t^2 + 1)} dt > \frac{1}{x} - \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^4} dt = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{3x^3}. \quad (3)$$

Also,  $J(x) < \frac{1}{x}$ , so (2), once proved, will also imply the inequality  $|I(x)| < \frac{1}{x}$ .

We now work on the estimation of  $I(x)$ . It adds to clarity to describe it more generally, for an integral of the form

$$I_f(x) = \int_x^\infty f(t) \sin t dt.$$

We assume that  $f(t)$  is non-negative and that  $f(t)$ , together with all its derivatives, is decreasing in magnitude and tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This is satisfied by  $f(t) = 1/t^p$  for any  $p > 0$ . It implies that the odd-numbered derivatives are negative and the even-numbered ones positive (so in fact these derivatives satisfy the same hypothesis as  $f(t)$  itself).

Integrating by parts, we have

$$I_f(x) = \left[ -f(t) \cos t \right]_x^\infty + r_1(x) = f(x) \cos x + r_1(x),$$

where

$$r_1(x) = \int_x^\infty f'(t) \cos t dt.$$

We can estimate  $r_1(x)$  as follows: since  $f'(t) \leq 0$ , we have  $|f'(t) \cos t| \leq -f'(t)$ , hence

$$|r_1(x)| \leq \int_x^\infty (-f'(t)) dt = f(x).$$

This is essentially the proof that the integral  $I_f(x)$  converges in the first place. It also gives the estimation

$$f(x)(\cos x - 1) \leq I_f(x) \leq f(x)(\cos x + 1), \quad (4)$$

so in particular  $|I_f(x)| \leq 2f(x)$ . However, this is well short of the inequality we have set out to prove. To get a better approximation, we repeat the integration by parts, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(x) &= f(x) \cos x + \left[ f'(t) \sin t \right]_x^\infty - I_{f''}(x) \\ &= f(x) \cos x - f'(x) \sin x - I_{f''}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Now applying this to  $f''(t)$  and substituting, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(x) &= f(x) \cos x - f'(x) \sin x - f''(x) \cos x + f^{(3)}(x) \sin x + I_{f^{(4)}}(x) \\ &= [f(x) - f''(x)] \cos x - [f'(x) - f^{(3)}(x)] \sin x + I_{f^{(4)}}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, as in the estimate of  $r_1(x)$ , we have  $|I_{f''}(x)| \leq -f'(x)$  and  $|I_{f^{(4)}}(x)| \leq -f^{(3)}(x)$ .

Of course, the process can be continued: successive derivatives of  $f(x)$  appear in the expressions multiplying  $\cos x$  and  $\sin x$ . However, this does not simply deliver ever-closer approximations, because for a fixed  $x$ , the derivatives  $f^{(n)}(x)$  ultimately grow large in magnitude. For our purposes, (6) is exactly what we want.

We mention the fact (although we won't actually use it) that these results simplify pleasantly for  $x = n\pi$ , where  $n$  is an integer. For example, for even  $n$ , (4) shows that  $I_f(n\pi) \geq 0$ . Applying this to  $I_{f''}$ , we see from (5) that  $I_f(n\pi) \leq f(n\pi)$ . In both cases, the opposite holds for odd  $n$ . So we can state (for all  $n$ ) that  $0 \leq (-1)^n I_f(n\pi) \leq f(n\pi)$ .

The reader might like to work out the corresponding expressions for  $\int_x^\infty f(t) \cos t \, dt$ .

Now let us return to the case we want, given by  $f(t) = 1/t$ . Statement (6) becomes

$$I(x) = \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{x^3} \right) \cos x + \left( \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{6}{x^4} \right) \sin x + r_4(x), \quad (7)$$

where

$$|r_4(x)| \leq \frac{6}{x^4}.$$

Write this as  $F(x) + r_4(x)$ . Clearly,  $F(x)$  is a highly accurate approximation to  $I(x)$  for large  $x$ . We now estimate its absolute value. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,  $|a \cos x + b \sin x| \leq (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$ . Assume that  $x \geq 2$  and write  $y = 1/x$ , so that  $0 < y \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(x)^2 &\leq (y - 2y^3)^2 + (y^2 - 6y^4)^2 \\ &= y^2 - 3y^4 - 8y^6 + 36y^8 \\ &= \left( y - \frac{3}{2}y^3 \right)^2 - 10\frac{1}{4}y^6 + 36y^8 \\ &< \left( y - \frac{3}{2}y^3 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $|F(x)| < y - \frac{3}{2}y^3$ , and hence

$$|I(x)| < \frac{1}{x} - \frac{3}{2x^3} + \frac{6}{x^4}. \quad (8)$$

With (3), this gives

$$J(x) - |I(x)| > \frac{7}{6x^3} - \frac{6}{x^4} = \frac{1}{6x^4}(7x - 36),$$

which is positive for  $x \geq \frac{36}{7}$ . This proves (2) for such  $x$ .

It remains to show that (2) also holds for  $x \leq \frac{36}{7}$  (in fact, we consider  $x \leq 2\pi$ ). Unfortunately, with all the details included, this requires nearly as much work as the main case! However, readers have the option of leaving out some of the details, as indicated. Write

$$f(t) = \frac{\sin t}{t}, \quad g(t) = \frac{1}{t^2 + 1}, \quad h(t) = \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}.$$

We need two Lemmas comparing  $f(t)$  with  $g(t)$  and  $-g(t)$ . These Lemmas are graphically convincing (though the diagrams are not reproduced here), and some readers may prefer to skip the formal proofs and go straight to the proofs of  $I(x) < J(x)$  and  $I(x) + J(x) > 0$ .

*Lemma 1:* There is a point  $z_0$  in  $(0, \pi)$  such that  $f(t) > g(t)$  on  $(0, z_0)$  and  $f(t) < g(t)$  on  $(z_0, 2\pi)$ .

*Proof:* Clearly,  $f(\pi) = 0$ , while  $g(\pi) > 0$ . Also, for  $\pi \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , we have  $f(t) \leq 0 < g(t)$ . By the sine series,  $f(t) > 1 - \frac{1}{6}t^2$  for  $0 < t < \sqrt{5}$ , while direct multiplication shows that  $g(t) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{6}t^2$  for  $t \leq \sqrt{5}$ . So there is a point  $z_0$  between  $\sqrt{5}$  and  $\pi$  with  $f(z_0) = g(z_0)$ . To show the uniqueness of  $z_0$ , we compare the gradients of  $\sin t$  and  $h(t)$ . Now  $\cos \sqrt{5} < \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} = -\frac{1}{2}$ , so for  $\sqrt{5} \leq t \leq \pi$ , the magnitude of the gradient of  $\sin t$  is at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Meanwhile,  $h'(t) = (1 - t^2)/(1 + t^2)^2$ , so  $|h'(t)| < 1/t^2 < \frac{1}{5}$ . Hence  $z_0$  is unique.

*Proof of  $I(x) < J(x)$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ :* We have shown that  $I(2\pi) < J(2\pi)$ , and of course  $I(0) = J(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . By Lemma 1,  $J(x) - I(x)$  is increasing on  $[0, z_0]$  and decreasing on  $[z_0, 2\pi]$ , since its derivative is  $f(x) - g(x)$ . Hence  $J(x) - I(x) \geq 0$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

The proof that  $I(x) + J(x) > 0$  is along similar lines, but it requires slightly more work.

*Lemma 2:* There are points  $x_1, z_1$  in  $(\pi, 2\pi)$  at which  $f(t) + g(t) = 0$ , with  $f(t) + g(t) > 0$  on  $[0, x_1]$  and  $[z_1, 2\pi]$ , while  $f(t) + g(t) < 0$  on  $[x_1, z_1]$ .

*Proof:* Write  $H(t) = t[f(t) + g(t)] = \sin t + h(t)$ . Clearly,  $H(\pi) = h(\pi) > 0$ ,  $H(2\pi) > 0$  and  $H(\frac{3}{2}\pi) = h(\frac{3}{2}\pi) - 1 < 0$ . So there exist  $x_1 < \frac{3}{2}\pi$  and  $z_1 > \frac{3}{2}\pi$  with  $H(x_1) = H(z_1) = 0$ . Also,  $H(t)$  is strictly decreasing on  $[\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi]$ , so  $x_1$  is unique. For  $\frac{3}{2}\pi \leq t \leq \frac{7}{4}\pi$ ,  $\sin t \leq -1/\sqrt{2}$  while  $h(t) < 1/\pi$ , so  $H(t) < 0$ . Between  $\frac{7}{4}\pi$  and  $2\pi$ , the gradient of  $\sin t$  is at least  $1/\sqrt{2}$ , while  $|h'(t)| < 1/t^2 < 1/\pi^2$ , so  $H'(t) > 0$ . Hence  $z_1$  is unique.

*Proof of  $I(x) + J(x) > 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ .* By Lemma 2,  $I(x) + J(x)$  is decreasing on  $[0, x_1]$  and  $[z_1, 2\pi]$ , and increasing on  $[x_1, z_1]$ . Since  $I(2\pi) + J(2\pi) > 0$ , it is enough to show that  $I(x_1) + J(x_1) > 0$ . We show that  $x_1 < \pi + \frac{3}{10}$ . Write  $\pi + \frac{3}{10} = u$ . From the inequality  $\sin t > t - \frac{1}{6}t^3$ , we have  $-\sin u = \sin \frac{3}{10} > 0.295$ , while  $h(u) < \frac{1}{u} < 0.291$ . So  $\sin u + h(u) < 0$ , hence  $f(u) + g(u) < 0$ , which shows that  $x_1 < u$ , as stated. (Calculation actually gives  $x_1 \approx 3.4147$ .)

Since  $I$  is increasing and  $J$  is decreasing on  $[\pi, 2\pi]$ , it is enough to show that  $I(\pi) + J(\pi + \frac{3}{10}) > 0$ . From (3), we find  $J(\pi + \frac{3}{10}) > 0.28238$ . To evaluate  $I(\pi)$ , we use the identity

$$\text{Si}(x) = x - \frac{x^3}{3!3} + \frac{x^5}{5!5} - \dots,$$

obtained by integrating the series for  $\sin t/t$ . This gives  $\text{Si}(\pi) \approx 1.85194$ , hence  $I(\pi) \approx -0.28114$  to five d.p., so the required inequality holds.

*A stronger inequality for  $x \geq 1$ .* We are indebted to the referee for the following observation:  $|I(x)| < K(x)$  for  $x \geq 1$ , where  $K(x) = 1/(x^2 + 1)^{1/2}$ . This is stronger than (2), because  $K(x) < J(x)$ , as one can see by writing  $K(x)$  as  $-\int_x^\infty K'(t) dt$ . At the same time, it does not hold for  $x$  close to 0, since  $K(0) = 1$ .

We sketch the proof. By multiplying out, one sees that  $(1 + u)^{-1/2} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}u$  for  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ , from which we deduce

$$K(x) \geq \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^3}$$

for  $x \geq 1$ . By (8), it follows that  $|I(x)| < K(x)$  for  $x \geq 6$ . Calculation shows that  $I(1) < K(1)$ . A suitable modification of the steps above now shows that the inequality holds for  $1 \leq x \leq 6$ .

### Reference

1. R. B. Burckel, *An Introduction to Classical Complex Analysis, vol. 1*, Academic Press (1979).